Remarks on mass transportation minimizing expectation of a minimum of affine functions

Alexander V. Kolesnikov, Nikolay Lysenko *

December 10, 2015

arXiv:1512.02894v1 [math.PR] 9 Dec 2015

Abstract

We study Monge-Kantorovich problem with one-dimensional marginals μ, ν and the cost function $c = \min\{l_1, \dots, l_n\}$ which equals to minimum of a finite number n of affine functions l_i satisfying certain non-degeneracy assumptions. We prove that the problem is equivalent to a finite-dimensional extremal problem. More precisely, it is shown that the solution is concentrated on the union of n products $I_i \times J_i$, where $\{I_i\}$, $\{J_i\}$ are partitions of the line into unions of disjoint connected sets. The families of sets $\{I_i\}, \{J_i\}$ admit the following properties: 1) $c = l_i$ on $I_i \times J_i$, 2) $\{I_i\}, \{J_i\}$ is a couple of partitions solving an auxiliary n-dimensional extremal problem. The result is partially generalized to the case of more than two marginals.

Keywords: Monge-Kantorovich problem, concave cost functions.

1 Introduction

We a given a couple of probability distributions μ, ν on the line, which are assumed to be atomless, and a Borel function $c\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$ the set of probability measures on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with the marginals μ, ν. Recall that a measure $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$ solves the Monge-Kantorovich problem if it gives minimum to the functional

$$\int c(x, y) d\pi \to \min, \ \pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu). \tag{1}$$

It is a classical and well-known fact that for a wide class of convex functions, as, for instance, $c = h(|x - y|)$ with strictly convex h, the solution to (1) is concentrated on the graph of a non-decreasing function. The assumption of convexity of c is standard for many core results of the transportation theory. The case of quadratic cost function $c = |x - y|^2$ is of particular interest.

In general, the Monge-Kantorovich problem with a concave cost c is harder. Remarkably, the solutions to (1) with concave c have totally different structure compare to solutions for convex costs. For instance, the corresponding optimal transportation mapping may not exist even for strictly concave c. The case of $c = h(|x - y|)$ with a strictly concave h has been studied in [2], where a general result on existence of the optimal transportation mapping has been

* The article was prepared within the framework of the Academic Fund Program at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE) in 2014–2015 (grant №14-05-0007) and supported within the framework of a subsidy granted to the HSE by the Government of the Russian Federation for the implementation of the Global Competitiveness Program

established (see recent developments in [5]). The exact solution in the one-dimensional case for $c = h(|x - y|)$ has been obtained in [3]. An algorithm to solve the discrete transportation problem with concave cost has been proposed in [1].

We study problem (1) for the cost

$$c = \min\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\},$$

$$l_i = a_i x + b_i y + c_i.$$

This problem, yet quite specific, is of particular interest, since the minimums of affine functions are dense in the set of all concave functions. It turns out that the solutions have a nice and relatively simple structure provided l_i satisfy certain non-degeneracy assumption. In particular, the problem (1) can be reduced to a finite-dimensional optimization problem. The corresponding optimal transportation problem admits a non-unique solution. Some of the results are generalized for the case of $m \geq 2$ one-dimensional marginals. In particular, we get the full characterization of the solution for the cost function

$$c = \min\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$$

(minimum of coordinate functions).

We emphasize that the multi-marginal transportation problem (in particular, with one-dimensional marginals) is attracting nowadays attention of many researchers (see recent survey [4] for general results and particular examples). Both the concave and the multi-marginal transportation problem are interesting in respect with potential applications in economics (see [4], [3]).

2 Results

Definition 2.1. *We say that a couple of distinct affine functions l_1, l_2 satisfies the non-degeneracy assumption* **(A)** *if the set*

$$\Gamma_{1,2} = \{l_1 = l_2\}$$

is not empty or parallel to one of the axes.

Example 2.2. *The assumption* **(A)** *is violated for $c = \min(x, x + y)$. Since $c = x + \min(0, y)$ the corresponding problem is degenerated and every $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$ is optimal.*

Definition 2.3. *Let l_1, l_2 be a couple of affine functions satisfying* **(A)** *and $M = (x_0, y_0)$ be a point which belongs to $\Gamma_{1,2} = \{l_1 = l_2\}$. Let Q_{M,l_1,l_2} be one of the sets*

$$\Big\{x \leq x_0, y \geq y_0\Big\} \cup \Big\{x \geq x_0, y \leq y_0\Big\},$$

$$\Big\{x \leq x_0, y \leq y_0\Big\} \cup \Big\{x \geq x_0, y \geq y_0\Big\},$$

which does not contain $\Gamma_{1,2}$, more precisely

$$\Gamma_{1,2} \cap Q_{M,l_1,l_2} = M.$$

Definition 2.4. *Given a Borel cost function $c : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ we say that the subset $S \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is c-cyclically monotone(or simply cyclically monotone) if for every non-empty sequence of its elements (x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n) the following inequality holds*

$$c(x_1, y_1) + c(x_2, y_2) + ... + c(x_n, y_n) \leq c(x_1, y_n) + c(x_2, y_1) + ... + c(x_n, y_{n-1}). \tag{2}$$

It is known that for a wide classes of cost functions any solution π to (1) satisfies $\pi(S) = 1$ for some cyclically monotone set S.

The following lemma is a version of what is called "no-crossing rule" (see [3]).

Lemma 2.5. *Let l_1, l_2 be affine functions satisfying* **(A)** *and π be a solution to the Monge-Kantorovich problem (1) with*

$$c = \min(l_1, l_2).$$

There exists a point $M \in \{l_1 = l_2\}$ such that the support of π is contained in Q_{M,l_1,l_2}.

Proof. It is clear that shifting the coordinates $x \to x - x_0, y \to y - y_0$ we can deal from the very beginning with linear functions l_1, l_2, hence the origin belongs to $\Gamma_{1,2}$. In addition, since the marginals are fixed, the statement is invariant under subtraction of a linear function l : instead of c one can deal with

$$c - l = \min(l_1 - l, l_2 - l).$$

Passing to this subtraction, if necessary, we can restrict ourself to the case $c = \min(ax, by)$ for some $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$. Multiplying by a constant we reduce the problem to the case $c = \min(a, by)$. Let $b > 0$ ($b < 0$ can be considered similarly).

Note that every two-points set $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)\}$ satisfying

$$\max(x_1, by_1) < \min(x_2, by_2)$$

is not cyclically monotone. Indeed, this can be easily verified by direct computations

$$\min(x_1, by_1) + \min(x_2, by_2) > x_1 + \min(x_2, by_1) \geq \min(x_1, by_2) + \min(x_2, by_1).$$

Now let us pick the smallest number s such that

$$\mu(-\infty, s) = \nu(s/b, +\infty). \tag{3}$$

We claim that the measure π is supported on the set

$$Q_{(s,bs),x,by} = \Big\{x \leq s, y \geq s/b\Big\} \cup \Big\{x \geq s, y \leq s/b\Big\}.$$

Assume the contrary and pick a point (x_1, y_1) from the support of π such that, say

$$x_1 < s, y_1 < s/b.$$

Then (3) implies that there exists another point (x_2, y_2) from the support of π such that

$$x_2 > s, y_2 > s/b.$$

But this means that $\max(x_1, by_2) < s < \min(x_2, by_2)$, hence c-monotonicity is violated. □

Definition 2.6. *We say that we are given a (μ, ν)-partition of the order n if*

1. *The x-axis and y-axis are represented as unions of n non-empty disjoint connected sets*

$$\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} = I_1 \cup I_2 \ldots \cup I_n,$$

$$\{0\} \times \mathbb{R} = J_1 \cup J_2 \ldots \cup J_n.$$

2.

$$\mu(I_i) = \nu(J_i) > 0, \ \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Theorem 2.7. *Let $l_1, \dots, l_n$ be n affine functions such that every two of them satisfy assumption* **(A)**, *and, moreover, every set $A_i = \{c = l_i\}$, $1 \le i \le n$ has a non-empty interior.*

Consider cost function

$$c = \min_{1 \le i \le n} (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n).$$

Then for every solution π to the Monge-Kantorovich problem (1) there exists a (μ, ν)-partition of the order $k \le n$ such that

1) π is supported on $\cup_{i=1}^{k} I_i \times J_i$,

2) for every $1 \le i \le k$ either $\pi(I_i \times J_i) = 0$ or (after a suitable renumeration of the functions l_i)

$$c = l_i$$

on $I_i \times J_i$.

Proof. Fix i and consider the set

$$\Omega_i = \{c = l_i\}.$$

Assume that $\pi(\Omega_i) > 0$. Then for every $j \neq i$ define

$$h_{ij} = \min(l_i, l_j)$$

and consider restriction $\pi_{ij} = \pi|_{\Omega_{ij}}$ onto the set

$$\Omega_{ij} = \{c = h\}.$$

We claim that π_{ij} is optimal for the cost function h_{ij} and its projections $\pi_{ij} \circ Pr_X^{-1}$, $\pi_{ij} \circ Pr_Y^{-1}$ onto the axes. Indeed, assume the contrary consider another measure

$$\tilde{\pi}_{ij} = \pi|_{\Omega_{ij}^c} + \hat{\pi}_{ij},$$

where $\hat{\pi}_{ij}$ is optimal for h_{ij} and $\pi_{ij} \circ Pr_X^{-1}$, $\pi_{ij} \circ Pr_Y^{-1}$. Using that $c \le h_{ij}$, $c = h_{ij}$ on Ω_{ij}, and $\hat{\pi}_{ij}$ is optimal, we get

$$\int c d\tilde{\pi}_{ij} = \int c d\pi|_{\Omega_{ij}^c} + \int c d\hat{\pi}_{ij} \le \int c d\pi|_{\Omega_{ij}^c} + \int h_{ij} d\hat{\pi}_{ij} < \int c d\pi|_{\Omega_{ij}^c} + \int h_{ij} d\pi_{ij} = \int c d\pi.$$

This contradicts to optimality of π.

Applying Lemma 2.5 we get that the supports of $\pi|_{\Omega_i} = \pi_{ij}|_{\Omega_i}$ and $\pi|_{\Omega_j} = \pi_{ij}|_{\Omega_j}$ are contained in the sets $L_{ij}^1 \times M_{ij}^1$, $L_{ij}^2 \times M_{ij}^2$ respectively, where L_{ij}^1 and $L_{ij}^2 = \mathbb{R} \setminus L_{ij}^1$ are disjoint and connected (the same is true for M_{ij}^1, M_{ij}^2). The i-th intervals of the desired (μ, ν)-partition are defined as follows:

$$I_i = \cap_{j \neq i} L_{ij}^1, \quad J_i = \cap_{j \neq i} M_{ij}^1.$$

By construction $l_i = c$ on $I_i \times J_i$, hence $I_i \times J_i \subset \Omega_i$, and the support of $\pi|_{\Omega_i}$ is contained in $I_i \times J_i$. The proof is complete. □

Remark 2.8. *Let π be a solution to the Monge-Kantorovich problem with the cost function c satisfying assumptions of Theorem 2.7 and $\{I_i, J_i\}$ be the corresponding (μ,ν)-partition. Then every measure with marginals μ,ν supported on $\cup_{i=1}^k I_i \times J_i$ solves the same Monge-Kantorovich problem.*

Moreover, if such a measure is supported on the graph of a mapping T, then T is the corresponding optimal transportation.

Theorem 2.7 establishes, in particular, that the transportation problem is reduced to a finite-dimensional problem of finding an optimal partition with the constraint $c = l_i$ on $I_i \times J_i$. In Theorem 2.9 below we present yet another equivalent finite-dimensional problem, where we relax the latter constraint on partitions and replace integrals over minimums by minimums of certain (easy computable) integrals. This viewpoint might be useful for computational purposes.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $l_1, \dots, l_n$ be affine functions*

$$l_j = a_j x + b_j y + c_j$$

satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2.7 and

$$c = \min_{1\le i\le n}(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n).$$

For every (μ,ν)-partition $\mathcal{P}$ define the functional J in the following way:

$$J(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_i \min_j \Big(a_j \int_{I_i} x d\mu + b_j \int_{J_i} y d\nu + c_j \mu(I_i) \Big).$$

Then the minimal value of the functional J over all the (μ,ν)-partitions of the order not bigger that n coincides with the minimum $K(\mu,\nu)$ of the Kantorovich functional for the cost function c.

Remark 2.10. *Note that*

$$J(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_i \min_j \int_{I_i\times J_i} l_j d\pi. \tag{4}$$

for every π with marginals μ,ν and the support in $\cup_{i=1}^k I_i \times J_i$. In particular

$$J(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_i \min_j \frac{1}{\mu(I_i)} \int_{I_i\times J_i} l_j \; d\mu|_{I_i} \times \nu|_{J_i}.$$

Proof. It follows from the representation (4) that

$$J(\mathcal{P}) \ge \sum_i \int_{I_i\times J_i} \min_j l_j d\pi = \int c \; d\pi$$

for every partition $\mathcal{P}$ and every measure π with marginals μ,ν. Thus

$$J(\mathcal{P}) \ge K(\mu,\nu).$$

On the other hand, given a solution π to the Monge-Kantorovich problem one can consider the particular partition $\mathcal{P}_0$ with the properties established in Theorem 2.7. Applying that $l_i = c$ on $I_i \times J_i$ for every i, one obtains

$$J(\mathcal{P}_0) = \sum_i \min_j \int_{I_i\times J_i} l_j d\pi = \sum_i \int_{I_i\times J_i} l_i d\pi = \sum_i \int_{I_i\times J_i} c d\pi = \int c d\pi = K(\mu,\nu).$$

The proof is complete. □

Example 2.11. *Let μ and ν be the Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$. Consider the set Π of couples of partitions $(\mathcal{P}_x, \mathcal{P}_y)$*

$$I_i = [t_{i-1}, t_i),\ J_i = [s_{i-1}, s_i)$$

of $[0,1]$ with the property

$$s_i - s_{i-1} = t_i - t_{i-1}.$$

Then according to Theorem 2.9 the value of the Kantorovich functional equals to

$$\min_{(\mathcal{P}_x,\mathcal{P}_y)\in\Pi} \sum_i (t_i - t_{i-1}) \min_j \Big(a_j \frac{t_i + t_{i-1}}{2} + b_j \frac{s_i + s_{i-1}}{2} + c_j \Big).$$

Finally, let us do some remarks on the multi-marginal case. We give below a generalization of our main result for the case when the number of affine functions coincide with the number of marginals. This covers, in particular, the cost function

$$c(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \min\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}.$$

We omit the proofs because they are completely similar to the case of two marginals.

Remark 2.12. *From the description of solutions to the Monge-Kantorovich problem for this cost function one can infer that the direct generalization of Theorem 2.7 fails at least in the following respect: the projections of $supp(\pi) \cap \{c = l_i\}$ can have intersections for different i.*

Definition 2.13. *We say that a n-tuple of distinct affine functions of n arguments l_1, ..., l_n satisfies the non-degeneracy assumption if the set*

$$\Gamma_{1,\dots,n} := \{l_1 = l_2 = \dots = l_n\}$$

is a straight line spanned by a n-dimensional vector that has not any zero component.

Definition 2.14. *Let l_1, ..., l_n be a n-tuple of distinct affine functions satisfying the non-degeneracy assumption. Suppose that $M = (x_1^0, ..., x_n^0)$ is a point from*

$$\Gamma_{l_1,\dots,l_n} := \{l_1 = l_2 = \dots = l_n\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set $\{\leq, \geq\}^n$, i.e. the set of sequences of n symbols $''\leq''$ or $''\geq''$. We follows the agreement that $-''\leq''$ coincides with $''\geq''$ and $-''\geq''$ coincides with $''\leq''$.

Finally, for any $s \in \mathcal{S}$ let us define Q_s in the following manner:

$$Q_s = \bigcup_{i\in\{1,\dots,n\}} \{x_1\, s[1]\, x_1^0, x_2\, s[2]\, x_2^0, ..., x_i\ -s[i]\, x_i^0, ..., x_n\, s[n]\, x_n^0\}.$$

Definition 2.15. *Take a directional vector v of $\Gamma_{l_1,\dots,l_n}$ with the property $v_1 > 0$. Define $t \in \mathcal{S}$ by the following rule: $t[i] = ''\geq''$ if $v_i > 0$ and $t[i] = ''\leq''$ if $v_i < 0$. The set Q_t is further referred as $Q_{M,l_1,\dots,l_n}$.*

Lemma 2.16. *Let l_1, ..., l_n be a n-tuple of distinct affine functions satisfying the non-degeneracy assumption and π be a solution to the Monge-Kantorovich problem with n marginals and cost function*

$$c = \min(l_1, ..., l_n).$$

Assume, in addition, that every set $\{c = l_i\}$ has a non-empty interior. Then there exists a point $M \in \Gamma_{1,\dots,n}$ such that the support of π is contained in $Q_{M,l_1,\dots,l_n}$.

Applying Lemma 2.16 we finally obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.17. *Let μ_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be atomless one-dimensional probability measures. Define*

$$s = \sup\Big\{x : \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i(-\infty, x] \leq 1\Big\}.$$

The measure $\pi \in \Pi(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ solves the Monge-Kantorovich problem with marginals μ_i and the cost function

$$c = \min(x_1, ..., x_n)$$

if and only if every $x \in supp(\pi) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satifies the following:

1) if $x_i \leq s$, then $x_j \geq s$ for every $j \neq i$,

2) if $x_i \geq s$, then there exists $j \neq i$ such that $x_j \leq s$.

References

[1] Delon J., Salomon J., Sobolevskii A., Local matching indicators for transport problems with concave costs, SIAM J. Disc. Math, 26 (2), 801–827, 2012.

[2] Gangbo W., McCann R.J., The geometry of optimal transportation, Acta Math., 177, 113–161, 1996.

[3] McCann R.J., Exact solutions to the transportation problem on the line, R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci., 455, 1341–1380, 1999.

[4] Pass B., Multi-marginal optimal transport: theory and applications. ESAIM: Math. Model. Numer. Anal., 49, 1771–1790, 2015.

[5] Pegon P., Piazzoli D., Santambogio F., Full characterization of optimal transport plans for concave costs, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. A, 35(12), 6113–6132, 2015.